

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/535,190	Applicant(s) CAMARO ET AL.	
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. J. Derek Mason, applicants' attorney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 May 2007
**Time:** 1:27 pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
 6


Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner requested authorization to amend claim 6 line 12 by replacing "metal sulphide" with --mineral compound-- via an Examiner's Amendment. Attorney granted the examiner authorization for entering this Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/PE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)